God in the Crucified People: Theologia Crucis i... By: Joas Adiprasetya

As of: Oct 20, 2018 3:49:27 PM 4,896 words - 58 matches - 44 sources Similarity Index

14%

Mode: Similarity Report 😊	
paper text:	
Journal of Reformed Theology 12 (2018) 284–295 brill.com/jrt	
God in the Crucified People Theologia Crucis in Martin Luther and Kosuke Koyama	23
Joas Adiprasetya Jakarta Theological Seminary, Indonesia jadiprasetya@gmail.com Abstract This article examines Martin	
Luther's theology of the cross (theologia crucis) and its implications	31
for the Asian struggle for humanity. It is argued that, although Luther's influence on many Asian theologians is significant, his inc	consistent
position with regard to sociopolitical issues requires Asian theologians to reinterpret their own the-ologies of the cross beyond L	Luther's
initial position. Moreover, the article explores Kosuke Koyama's appropriation of Luther's theologia crucis in the Asian context by	,
imaginatively constructing his own theology of the cross that critically addresses Asian sociopolitical realities. Keywords Martin	Luther –
Kosuke Koyama – theology of the cross – theologia crucis – Asia 1 Introduction: Luther and Asia Most of the books on Martin Lu	uther
written by theologians or historians from the West define his political thought with reference to direct political issues, such as the	e theory
of the two kingdoms, the peasant rebellion, and the war against the Turk.1 Certainly, there is nothing wrong with this selection, si	ince
those issues indeed reflect the political context of Luther's own time. He is no doubt a son of his age. Nevertheless, it will be	
inappropriate to apply such issues 1 See, e.g.,	
W.D.J. Cargill Thompson, The Political Thought of Martin Luther (Sussex: Harvester Press, 1984).	8
©	
koninklijke brill nv, leiden, 2018 doi:10.1163/	34

15697312-01203002 directly to the Asian context, given the fact that there is a wide disparity, in both time and place, between those two contexts. As Paul S. Chung argues, "It would be a perilous project to transplant Luther out of his context for a cross-cultural reading."2 Unless this gap is recognized, a directly forced application would not only result in a sterilization of the theological engagement within a concrete sociopolitical situation, but also open a possibility to misuse the theology for the interest of those who are in power. What is the

characteristic of the living texts of Asian people? A Sri Lankan theologian of liberation, Aloysius Pieris, sees that Asian reality is characterized by two facts: "overwhelming poverty" and "multifaceted religiosity." 3 While the first constitutes a commonality shared with the rest of the developing world, the second points out the unique character of Asia. It is indispensable, there- fore, to take an indirect way in order to 'inscribe' this first Reformer in the living texts of Asian people; and

I would suggest that the indirect way to arrive at the

2

rationale beneath this decision is based on the human condition in both Luther's writings and the Asian con- text. Thus, the main idea that I maintain throughout this article is that Asian theologians who deal with both Luther's theology and the Asian context find Luther's

rationale beneath this decision is based on the human condition in both Luther's writings and the Asian con-text. Thus, the main idea that I maintain throughout this article is that Asian theologians who deal with both Luther's theology and the Asian context find Luther's political account to be less relevant to their struggle for humanization than his theologia crucis. To prove it, I will begin with the description of Luther's theologia crucis. Then, I will analyze some theological aspects of Luther's the-ologia crucis and the reason why the suffering God is to be found in the suffering people. Afterward, I will examine an Asian Reformed theologian of the cross, Kosuke Koyama, especially in the way he employs Luther's theologia crucis. In the last part, I will provide my own reflections on this theme. 2 Theologia crucis and Divine Suffering On October 31,

in Wittenberg his Ninety-Five Theses.

In Rome, cardinals saw Luther's theses as an attack on papal authority

22

less than a year later, in April 1518, he wrote the

Heidelberg Disputation in order to answer the invitation to account for his new theology to the Augustinian 2

and the church's theology generally. Therefore,

Paul S. Chung, "The Future of Martin Luther in an Asian Context," Dialog 42,

no. 1 (2003): 62. 3 Aloysius Pieris, "Toward an Asian Theology of Liberation: Some Religio-Cultural Guidelines,"

in Asian Christian Theology: Emerging Themes, ed. Douglas J. Elwood, 2nd ed. (Philadelphia: Westminster 20 Press, 1980), 239. Order. This text consists of forty theses, divided into two major parts: theses 1-28 (theological theses) and 29-40 (philosophical theses).4 Luther's account of theologia crucis exists clearly in theses 19–21. Nevertheless, before writing this disputation, Luther used the term theologia crucis in his lectures on the Epistle to the 6 Hebrews (1517–1518). In commenting on Hebrews 12:11, Luther writes: Here we find the Theology of the Cross, or, as the Apostle expresses it: "The word of the cross is a stumbling block to the Jews, and foolishness to the Gentiles" ... because it is utterly hidden from their eyes and is taught in hiddenness. This means that it is not manifest, but is hidden, as in the midst of a tempest.5 The most famous notion of theologia crucis, however, appears 7 in theses 19-21 of the Heidelberg Disputation: 19. He is not worthy calling a theologian who seeks to interpret "the invisible things of God" on the basis of the things which have been created, 20. But he is worth calling a theologian who understands the visible and hinder parts of God to mean the passion and the cross. 21. The theologian of glory says bad is **good and good** is bad. The the- ologian of the cross says what is in fact the truth (i.e., calls them by their proper name). Luther makes a contrast between what he calls 'theology of glory' (theologia gloriae) and 'theology of the cross' (theologia crucis). 25

He rejects the theolo- gians who speculate about the knowledge of God as if it can be perceived "on the basis of the things which have been created" (ea, quae facta sunt, intellecta conspicit). The targets of Luther's criticism are doubtless the Thomistic theolo- 4 In the philosophical part, Luther attacks Aristotle. He himself taught about Aristotle's Ethics in 1508 at Wittenberg. Dennis Ngien argues that his general rejection of Aristotelianism becomes the background of his understanding of the 'passibility' of God, since the philoso-pher believes that the self-sufficient God experiences neither passion nor feeling. Dennis Ngien, The

Suffering of God According to Martin Luther's Theologia Crucis, American Univer- sity **Studies** Peter Lang, 1995), 7-8. For a general description of Luther's critique of Aristotle, see Alister E. McGrath, Luther's Theology of the Cross: Martin Luther's Theological Breakthrough (Oxford and 13 York: Blackwell, 1985), 136-141.5 Martin Luther, Luther: Early Theological Works, ed. James Atkinson 35 (Philadelphia: Westmin- ster, 1980), 233–234. gians with their analogy of being (analogia entis). As a matter of fact, Luther concedes that humanity could have natural knowledge about God, but this knowledge cannot be grasped independently of divine revelation. In proving thesis 21a, "The theologian of glory says bad is good and good is bad," Luther writes, "And thus they say that the good of the cross is evil, and call the evil of works good." What Luther denies, therefore, is a theology that teaches salvation through human good works. In contrast, true theologians are those who rely on divine revelation to know God (thesis 20). God's revelation is found in the passion and the 8 cross (pas- siones et crucem) of Christ, wherein God is being revealed and hidden—Deus revelatus et absconditus—at the same time. In and through the suffering of Jesus on the cross, God 15 turns toward humanity. Moreover, Luther explains this thesis by saying: God determined on the contrary to be known from sufferings. He sought to condemn that sort of knowledge of the 1 things invisible which was based on a wisdom from things visible. So that in this way those who did not worship God as made known in his works, might worship him hidden behind his sufferings.

thesis 20 He also quotes the idea of the "foolishness of God" (1 Cor 1:21, 25) to empha-size that one can only know God if one "knows [God] at the same time in the humility and shame of the cross" (Proof of thesis 20). From this perspective, we understand that Luther

regards theologia crucis not simply as one part of theology but as "theology in its totality, that is, the-ology in so far as it is at all capable of understanding the unity underlying the antitheses in the divine works." 6 It is not "a chapter in theology but a specific kind of theology." 7 However, we have still to analyze whether he constructs his entire

theology in the light of theologia crucis.

39

6

Regin Prenter, Luther's Theology of the Cross (Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1971),

24

2.7

Walther von Loewenich, Luther's Theology of the Cross, trans. Herbert J.A. Rouman (Min- neapolis: Augsburg, 16 1976),

18. 3 Building a Bridge between Luther and Asian Soil Luther's theologia crucis undoubtedly opens many possibilities for us to theologize. David Tracy, for instance, maintains the relation between theologia crucis and apophatic mystical traditions.8 It is also interesting to discuss the-ologia crucis in terms of philosophical and systematic theology. Nevertheless, our concern is with the possibility of 'implanting' theologia crucis into Asian soil, whether it can serve the Asian struggle for human liberation in its plu- ralistic context. Aloysius Pieris has told us that the "overwhelming poverty" is a shared reality of the developing countries. This is why we can find many theolo- gians in these countries employing Luther's theologia crucis in their theological reflections, such as Jon Sobrino9 and Walter Altmann10 from Latin America, and Claudia M. Nolte11 from South Africa. In the next section, I will focus on Kosuke Koyama as an Asian theologian who employs Luther's theologia crucis, not only as a theological source of lib- eration, but also as a Christian symbol in its encounter with other religious symbols of suffering. Before discussing Koyama, however, it is important to pro- vide two notes. First, in order for us to relate theologia crucis and the suffering of Asian people, we should analyze the relationship between Christ's suffering and human suffering, between the crucified Christ and the crucified people. Paul Althaus, a famous interpreter of Luther, is of the opinion that "we cannot view the cross as an objective reality in Christ without at once knowing our- selves as crucified with Christ."12 Walther von Loewenich also maintains, "The cross of Christ and the cross of the Christian belong together." 13 He continues: That is to say, the cross of the Christian corresponds to the cross of Christ. To know God "through suffering and cross" means that the knowledge of God comes into being at the cross of Christ, the significance of which becomes evident only to one who himself [sic] stands in cross and suffering.14 8 David W. Tracy, "Form and Fragment: The Recovery of the Hidden and Incomprehensible God," in The

Concept of God in Global Dialogue (Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 2005). 9

10

"If any want to become my followers, let them deny themselves and take up their cross and follow me." Luther himself describes this relationship in an interesting way: "Christ must be apprehended as Man, before he is appre- hended as God; and the cross of his humanity must be sought after and known, before we know the glory of his divinity."15 Kazoh Kitamori, a Lutheran the- ologian of the cross from Japan, offers a different approach when he pro- poses a third model of analogy to replace the analogy of being (analogia entis) of the medieval theology and the analogy of faith (analogia fidei) of evan- gelical theology. The sole analogy

between God and human beings that can express the problem of suffering is the analogy of

pain or suffering (analogia doloris).16 A second note is worth elaborating here as to why we need an Asian politi- cal theology of the cross. It is very useful to read Jürgen Moltmann's analysis of Luther's theologia crucis in his book The Crucified God (1993). A German Reformed theologian, Moltmann argues that theologia crucis is a "practical doc- trine for battle."17 Its dialectic character gives this theology a critical function, since it "sets out to liberate men from their inhuman definitions and their idol- ized assertions, in which they

have become set, and in which society ensnared them."18 Yet, I would add, it also gives theologia crucis a function of solidarity in the sense that it proclaims God's solidarity in human suffering through God's own suffering on the cross of Jesus of Nazareth. Without its solidarity dimension, a critical theologia crucis can only be an uprooted and noisy voice for both the victims and the perpetrators. On the contrary, without its critical function, the-ologia crucis is a theology without hope and resurrection, since it is in Christ's resurrection that Christian theology has its power to criticize the culture of death. Despite the critical function of theologia crucis, Moltmann argues that

Luther's theologia crucis has a limit in 42 political terms. For theologia crucis is for-mulated in "theoretical and practical terms against the medieval institutional 8 15 Alberto L. García, "Signposts for Global Witness in Luther's Theology of the Cross," in The Theology of the Cross for the 21st Century: Signposts for a Multicultural Witness, ed. Alberto L. García and A.R. 4 Victor Raj (St. Louis: Concordia, 2002), 15.16 Kazoh Kitamori, Theology of the Pain of God (Eugene: Wipf & Stock, 2005), 2 55-56.17 2 Jürgen Moltmann, The Crucified God: The Cross of Christ as the Foundation and Criticism of Christian Theology (Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1993), 72.18 Moltmann, Crucified God,

72. church" but not "as social criticism against feudal society in the Peasant Wars of 1524 and 1525."19 Moltmann writes: What he wrote to the peasants did not express the critical and liberat- ing force of the cross, the choosing of the lowly which puts the mighty to shame, nor the polemic of the crucified God against pride and subjection, domination and slavery, but instead a non-Protestant mysticism of suffering and humble submission. 20 In short, according to Moltmann, there is a broad gap between Luther's the-ological and political positions. To some extent, this gap is caused by the difference of Luther's own positions in these two attitudes. While his theological crucis appears in the earlier time of his theological career as a 'rebel,' his political position toward the peasants emerges in his relatively stable position. In my opinion, Moltmann's critique against Luther is too harsh. A careful reading of Luther's letters on this matter, especially An Admonition to Peace and

indeed helps us see Luther's strong criticism against the rebellious peasants and his advice to the peasants to obey the authorities. At the same time, how- ever, the Reformer blamed the princes for their injustice toward their subjects. My problem is rather with the lack of any direct correlation between his fun- damental theologia crucis and his position on the peasants' insurrection. In the two letters mentioned above, Luther did not explicitly employ the language of theologia crucis in arguing against the princes and the peasants. Thus, it is very difficult for Christians from other contexts simply to apply

Luther's position on the issue of the peasants' rebellion to their

36

own social-political issues. This is the reason why, in the article, I discuss Kosuke Koyama's reinterpretation of Luther's theologia crucis in the Asian context. 4 Theologia crucis in Asia: Kosuke Koyama

In this section, I will discuss the thought of

11

Kosuke Koyama, an Asian the- ologian of the cross, who has tried to situate Luther's theologia crucis in his own Asian context. Certainly, there are many other Asian theologians who

19 Moltmann, Crucified God, 72. 20 Moltmann, Crucified God,

38

72. have done the same: Kazoh Kitamori21 from Japan, C.S. Song22 from Taiwan, and S.J. Samartha23 from India.24 Kosuke Koyama is one of the Asian the- ologians who popularize the Asian way of doing theology to Western continents. Typical in his contextual theology is a creative mixture of social analysis and theological reflection, along with crosscultural and interreligious richness. His familiarity with Luther's theology is shown in his dissertation on Luther's interpretation of the Psalms. The starting point for his own theologia crucis is very personal. He told his story in his "Reformation in the Global Context" in 2003: My own version of a theology of the cross began emotionally, without any definite form or understanding, when I was 15 years old. In the morn- ing following the midnight carpet bombing of March 10, 1945, in Japan, I saw the sun rise as usual, as though nothing had happened in the human world. The light and warmth of the sun embraced both the dead and the living. The sun quietly erased the distinction between enemy and friend. I became aware that a strange quietness had descended on me. I heard, or felt, the words of Jesus, that God "makes his sun rise on the evil and on the good, and sends rain on the righteous and on the unrighteous" (Matt 5:45). Those words have come back to me from time to time for nearly sixty years since that morning. When I was baptized during the war, the minister told me that God loves everyone, Americans as well as Japanese. I was baptized not into the religion of the enemy country but into the God of all nations.25 Besides the personal story, there is one part of the Bible that touches Koyama's heart deeply, that is, the mind of the loving God that Hosea proclaims, "My 21 Kitamori, Theology of the Pain of God. 22

1996). 23

Stanley J. Samartha, The Hindu Response to the Unbound Christ (Bangalore: Christian Institute for the Study of Religion and Society, 1974).

24 Andreas A. Yewangoe has surveyed more than twenty Asian theologians of the cross in Japan, India, Korea, and Indonesia. However, I do not discuss Yewangoe's own theologia crucis along with Koyama's, because Yewangoe contains no direct reference to Luther's theologia crucis. Perhaps, it is because in Indonesia Luther is less influential than Calvin is. See A.A. Yewangoe,

Theologia Crucis in Asia: Asian Christian Views on Suffering in the Face of Overwhelming Poverty and Multifaceted Religiosity in Asia, Amsterdam Studies in Theology 6 (Amsterdam: Rodopi, 1987).

3

25

Kosuke Koyama, "Reformation in the Global Context: The Disturbing Spaciousness of Jesus Christ," Currents in

Theology and Mission 30, no. 2 (April 2003): 119-

120. mind is turning over in me. My emotions are agitated all together" (Hos 11:8). About this verse he writes: I have no precise theological formulation by which I would connect the words of Hosea about God and "the word of the cross" (1 Cor 1:18). But I find that the image of the agitated mind of God, given by Hosea, illumi- nates the "word of the cross" for me "from inside God," if we are allowed to say such a thing in all our theology. The word of the cross points to God's agitated emotions because of God's love towards us. The word of the cross heals our history by giving it hope and life.26 The agitated mind of God also agitates our theology, until we have a 'crucified mind.' By the crucified mind he means "the mind which has decided to live by the power of the crucified Lord."27 The crucified mind, moreover, is in contrast with the 'crusading mind' that refers to "all kind of 'crusading for ...' and 'crusad- ing against.' "28 While the former is trained under the weight of the handle-less cross, the later puts a 'handle' to the cross in order to control God. For Koyama, a handle-minded theology is no longer a theology but a demonic theological ideology.29 Against this kind of theological ideology, theologia crucis stands firmly. In his Mount Fuji and Mount Sinai, Koyama also characterizes theologia crucis as a critical theology, which becomes a "solution to the problem of idolatry in our world."30 By idolatry or ideologized theology he means a theology "in which humanity, not God, is at the center, in which the freedom of God is ignored and God is programmed to do what we ask God to do."31 A theology is not idolatrous insofar as it teaches us "to flee to God against God"

Koyama borrows this term from Luther's commentary on 26

Kosuke Koyama, Mount Fuji and Mount Sinai: A Critique of Idols (Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 1985),

17

241. 27

Kosuke Koyama, No Handle on the Cross: An Asian Meditation on the Crucified Mind (Lon-don: SCM Press,

14

1976), 8. 28 Koyama,

No Handle, ix. Koyama also contrasts the crucified mind and the crusading mind in his short yet excellent article, "Christianity Suffers from 'Teacher Complex," in Evange- lization: Mission Trends 2,

ed. Gerald H. Anderson and Thomas F. Stransky (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans,

27

1975), 70–75. He argues that, while the former promotes a dialogical two-way- traffic theology, the latter demonstrates Christianity as a one-way-traffic religion that suf- fers from a "teacher complex." 29 Koyama, No Handle, 3. 30 Koyama, Mount Fuji, 241. 31 Koyama, Mount Fuji, 247. the Psalms.32 He argues, "Luther's theologia crucis tells us that it is not 'fleeing to God,' but 'fleeing to God against God' that we affirm our faith in God ... Those who 'flee to God against God' dethrone the idols."33 Koyama certainly learns about theologia crucis from Luther. However, he is critical of the Reformer's avoidance of the sociopolitical implication of his own theology. Koyama raises very important questions to the Reformer: "Are not hungry people crucified people? Is not God hidden in the naked people? Is not Christian faith the most physical faith of the world religions because of the teaching on the incarnation of God?"34 And he concludes, "The vehemence of Luther's essay 'Against the Robbing and Murdering Hordes of Peasants' did violence to his own theology of the cross."35 Koyama's

theologia crucis as a critical theology is

43

also clear when he employs the 'center-periphery' language. As mentioned before, an idolatry or ideolo- gized theology puts humanity and not God 'at the center.' On the contrary, the- ologia crucis proclaims

Jesus Christ who has gone

11

"to the utter periphery."36 In so doing, Jesus "established his centrality by going to the periphery."37 Koyama's horizontal 'centerperiphery' version of theologia crucis, therefore, enriches the vertical-existential dimension of Luther's theologia crucis. Thus, the possibility to put a critical dimension to theologia crucis is widely opened. He writes, "This [center-person who has gone to the periphery] will give us a new possibility in our encounter with the people of other faith and ideologies. We can be critical about them because we are critical about ourselves."38 Periphery also symbol- izes the life of the suffering people, "ill-clad and buffeted and homeless."39 In this sense, theologia crucis is at the same time a political or liberation theology. Koyama's familiarity with other religious and cultural traditions is shown in the way he inscribes many symbols for other religions and cultures into his the- ologia crucis. For instance, Koyama describes his encounter with a Buddhist monk who shaves off his hair and eyebrows. "The face with eyebrows shaved off," for Koyama, symbolizes Jesus' self-denial, just as Buddhism teaches sim- ilarly about self-denial.40 Koyama believes that religious symbolism is never 32 Koyama, Mount Fuji, 272n8. 33 Koyama, Mount Fuji, 248. 34 Koyama, "Reformation," 125. 35 Koyama, "Reformation," 125. 36

Kosuke Koyama, "Jesus Christ Who Has Gone to the Utter Periphery," The Ecumenical Review 43, no. 1

11

(January 1991): 100–106. 37 Koyama, Mount Fuji, 243. 38 Koyama, Mount Fuji, 244–245. 39 Koyama, "Jesus Christ," 102, 105. 40 Koyama, No Handle, 28-29. neutral. Symbols can point to the true God or to idols. In this sense, the cross as Christian symbol is "the risk that the creator God took."41 It can be either idol- atrous or symbolically engaged. Nevertheless, a true symbol is to be a broken symbol. "Only the broken symbol [such as the cross], paradoxically, can have meaning to us without enslaving us. The broken symbol is neither fetish nor ideological."42 Moreover, a true symbol has a critical power, "The symbol of the cross must confront the symbol of the swastika [from Stalin]."43 It is also worth noting that in Koyama, theologia crucis has become an over- riding tenet that prevails in many theological aspects, such as ecumenism,44 missiology,45 and education.46 In other words, theologia crucis is Koyama's omnium gatherum through which all other theological ideas are gathered and given new meanings. Luther and Koyama lived in two different periods and social locations. It is precisely such spatial and temporal differences that enable Koyama to bring Luther's theologia crucis to the new context of Asia. To be sure, what Koyama suggests throughout his theological reinterpretation of the cross cannot easily be applied to his original context of Japan. Joas Adiprasetya argues that Pieris's double identification of the Asian real- ity is no longer accurate or sufficient in describing the context for Asian the-ology. "First, it does not take into account the fact that some regions in Asia are significantly free from poverty, such as Singapore and Japan. Secondly, the characteristic of multifaceted religiousness can no longer be said to be truly Asian."47 Thus, it might be hard for readers to imagine how Koyama's strong arguments could be applicable to some prosperous Asian countries such as Japan. We also need, however, to take into account the fact that Koyama speaks on behalf of many countries of Asia that fall into Pieris's identification. Many of Koyama's books were inspired by his missionary experience in the poor rural areas in Thailand. 41 Koyama, Mount Fuji, 44. 42 Koyama, Mount Fuji, 43. Here, Koyama seems to follow Paul Tillich's idea of "broken myths." See Robert C. Neville, TheTruth of

Broken Symbols, SUNY Series in Religious Studies (Albany: State University of New York Press,

21

1996), x. 43 Koyama, Mount Fuji, 44. 44 Kosuke Koyama, "The Eucharist: Ecumenical and Ecological," The Ecumenical Review 44, no. 1 (January 1992): 80-90. 45

Kosuke Koyama, "Extend Hospitality to Strangers': A Missiology of Theologia Crucis," International Review of Mission 82, no. 327 (July

29

1993): 283–295. 46 Kosuke Koyama, "Theological Education: Its Unities and Diversities," Theological Educa- tion 30, no. 1 (1993): 87–106. 47 Joas Adiprasetya, "Towards an Asian Multitextual Theology," Exchange 43, no. 2 (May 2014): 120. 5 Conclusion So far, I believe, I have pointed out how Kosuke Koyama interprets and inscribes Luther's

theologia crucis in the context of suffering and poverty in

8

Asia. He successfully solves the main flaw in Luther's theologia crucis, that is, his incon- sistency between theologia crucis in his earlier period and his later political thought. We see in Koyama a more consistent position on how theologia crucis should embrace every aspect of theology as well as every aspect of human life. Koyama's engagement with Asian socio-politico-cultural realities is so deeply rooted in his own "crucified mind" that he is enabled to implement his the- ologia crucis not only vertically or existentially, as we find in Luther, but also at the margin of humanity, at the periphery of the suffering people. As far as the religious plurality in Asia is concerned, Koyama's creative use of symbol- ism from other religious traditions makes his theologia crucis ready to enter a

dialogue with people of other faiths. Among other religious symbols the

10

cross itself becomes a broken symbol that points to the 'vulnerable God.'48 48

Kosuke Koyama, "The Theology of the Cross and the Self-Consciousness of the Church," Asia Journal of Theology 8, no. 1 (April 1994):

32

7. god in the crucified people 285 286 adiprasetya god in the crucified people 287 288 adiprasetya god in the crucified people 289 290 adiprasetya god in the crucified people 291 292 adiprasetya god in the crucified people 293 294 adiprasetya god in the crucified people 295 Journal of Reformed Theology 12 (2018) 284–295 Journal of Reformed Theology 12

sources:

- 55 words / 1% Internet from 11-Oct-2018 12:00AM shakespir.com
- 55 words / 1% Internet from 18-May-2016 12:00AM chesterrep.openrepository.com
- 27 words / 1% Internet from 21-Dec-2015 12:00AM www.archive.org

4	27 words / 1% - Internet from 20-Apr-2016 12:00AM concordiatheology.org
5	26 words / 1% - Internet from 17-Oct-2018 12:00AM epdf.tips
6	26 words / 1% - Internet from 28-Apr-2003 12:00AM www.catchpenny.org
7	25 words / 1% - Crossref <u>Tibor Fabiny. "The (quote)Strange Acts of God:(quote) The Hermeneutics of Concealment and Revelation in Luther and Shakespeare", Dialog A Journal of Theology, 3/2006</u>
8	24 words / < 1% match - Internet from 26-Apr-2015 12:00AM uwerosenkranz.net
9	23 words / < 1% match - Internet from 03-Oct-2013 12:00AM www.directionjournal.org
10	20 words / < 1% match - Internet from 01-Apr-2016 12:00AM www.ocms.ac.uk
11	20 words / < 1% match - Internet from 30-Aug-2017 12:00AM theoluniv.ub.rug.nl
12	20 words / < 1% match - Publications Phan, Peter C "Jesus the Christ with an Asian face.", Theological Studies, Sept 1996 Issue
13	18 words / < 1% match - Internet from 07-Nov-2014 12:00AM library.word-life.org
14	18 words / < 1% match - Internet from 14-Apr-2009 12:00AM www.catholic-church.org
15	17 words / < 1% match - Internet from 13-Oct-2017 12:00AM research.vu.nl
16	17 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Losel, Steffen, and Mark D. Jordan. "Love Divine, All Loves Excelling: Balthasar's Negative Theology of Revelation", The Journal of Religion, 2002.</u>
17	16 words / < 1% match - Internet from 29-Mar-2016 12:00AM assets.bakerpublishinggroup.com
18	15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 22-Apr-2015 12:00AM

	etneses.dur.ac.uk
19	15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 14-Feb-2006 12:00AM episdionc.com
20	14 words / < 1% match - Internet religionhumanrights.com
21	14 words / < 1% match - Internet from 25-Mar-2017 12:00AM www.unav.es
22	13 words / < 1% match - Internet from 12-Apr-2010 12:00AM www.aaapoe.net
23	13 words / < 1% match - Internet from 15-Apr-2009 12:00AM people.bu.edu
24	12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 10-Apr-2010 12:00AM www.mattblackmon.com
25	12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 29-Jan-2017 12:00AM www.blackwellpublishing.com
26	12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 05-Dec-2017 12:00AM scholars.wlu.ca
27	11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 24-Oct-2010 12:00AM www.directionjournal.org
28	10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 27-Jan-2010 12:00AM www.queerasianspirit.org
29	10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 06-Nov-2017 12:00AM ir.lib.uwo.ca
30	9 words / < 1% match - Internet from 15-Nov-2006 12:00AM www.plts.edu
31	9 words / < 1% match - Internet from 25-Feb-2010 12:00AM www.cuw.edu
32	9 words / < 1% match - Internet from 17-Aug-2010 12:00AM biblicalstudies.org.uk
33	8 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-May-2016 12:00AM

	www.augsburgfortress.org
34	8 words / < 1% match - Internet from 08-Aug-2018 12:00AM halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr
35	8 words / < 1% match - Crossref "Dialogue in Dialog: "Evolution and Evil"", Dialog, 09/2003
36	8 words / < 1% match - Crossref Schmidt, Stephen A "TOWARD A STRATEGY FOR PUBLIC CHRISTIAN EDUCATION", Religious Education, 1987.
37	8 words / < 1% match - Publications Walls, Andrew F. Acton, Margaret M "Bibliography on Mission Studies.", International Review of Mission, July 2003 Issue
38	8 words / < 1% match - Crossref Duncan Reid. "Identity, Relevance and the Crucified God", Pacifica: Australasian Theological Studies, 2012
39	7 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Ivor J. Davidson. "Crux probat Omnia: Eberhard Jüngel and the Theology of the Crucified One", Scottish Journal of Theology, 05/1997</u>
40	6 words / < 1% match - Internet from 26-Aug-2015 12:00AM www.fumcsd.org
41	6 words / < 1% match - Crossref David Bagchi. "Martin Luther: 'Confessional' Theologian", The Expository Times, 2014
42	6 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Gary M. Simpson. "<i>Theologia Crucis</i> and the Forensically Fraught World: Engaging Helmut Peukert and Jürgen Habermas", Journal of the American Academy of Religion, 1989</u>
43	5 words / < 1% match - Internet from 24-Jul-2014 12:00AM journeywithjesus.net
44	4 words / < 1% match - Internet from 23-Sep-2010 12:00AM www.thefreelibrary.com